UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of North Carolina

United States of America v.))
JOSEPH OREN NELUMS	Case No: 3:03CR00036-003
Date of Previous Judgment: December 15, 2003) USM No: <u>23833-018</u>) David Burgess
(Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Applicable)	Defendant's Attorney
Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)	
Upon motion of ■ the defendant □ the Director § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment improvemently been lowered and made retroactive by the Unit § 994(u), and having considered such motion,	
-	previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in months is reduced to
I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANGEPrevious Offense Level:29Criminal History Category:VPrevious Guideline Range:240to 240	Amended Offense Level: 27 Criminal History Category: V Amended Guideline Range: 240 to 240 months
 II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED GUIDELINE RANGE □ The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range. □ The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of sentencing as a result of a departure or Rule 35 reduction, and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended guideline range. ■ Other (explain): No reduction as the guideline range remains unchanged due to the mandatory minimum sentence of 240 months. III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS 	
Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment dat IT IS SO ORDERED.	ted 12/15/2003 shall remain in effect.
Order Date: June 15, 2009	Frank Thhitney
Effective Date:	Frank D. Whitney United States District Judge